

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/765,375	01/27/2004	Joseph K. Madathil	87490RLO	1588
75	590 09/21/2005		EXAM	INER
Pamela R. Crocker			QUARTERMAN, KEVIN J	
Patent Legal St	aff			
Eastman Kodak Company			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
343 State Street			2879	
Rochester, NY	14650-2201		D. T. N. A. T. D.D. 00/01/000	_

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				4
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	, y
Office Action Summary		10/765,375	MADATHIL ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kevin Quarterman	2879	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	••
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		ts is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2004 is/are. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. : a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	21(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
2) Notic 3) Inform Pape	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 0104	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/765,375 Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

- 1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 2. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 3. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 4. Claims 1-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of copending Application No. 10/765,607 ('607) in view of Raychaudhuri (US Pub. 2004/0140758), as shown in Table 1.
- 5. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,375 Page 3

Art Unit: 2879

Table 1

Instant	Application	Raychaudhuri	Discussion of Differences
Application	'607		
Claim 1	Claim 1	¶ [0013]-[0026]	The bottom-emitting OLED device of the instant application includes a semitransparent anode and an opaque cathode, where the top-emitting OLED device of '607 includes an opaque anode and a semitransparent cathode. Raychaudhuri teaches that a top-emitting OLED device can be converted to a bottom-emitting OLED device by making the anode semitransparent and the cathode opaque.
Claims 2-5	Claims 2-5	Same as above	Same as above for claim 1
Claim 6	Claim 6	Same as above	Same as above for claim 1 in addition to the transmission enhancement layer of the instant application being disposed on the anode, while '607 cites the transmission enhancement layer being disposed on the cathode.
Claim 7	Claim 7	Same as above	Same as above for claim 1
Claim 8	Claim 8	Same as above	The instant application cites the anode layer having an absorbance of 30% or less, while '607 cites the cathode layer having this absorbance.
Claims 9-20	Claims 9-20	Same as above	Same as above for claim 1
Claim 21	Claim 22	Same as above	Same as above for claim 1
Claim 22	Claim 21	Same as above	Same as above for claim 1

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Quarterman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2461. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (7-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Quarterman Examiner
Art Unit 2879

19 September 2005

Joseph Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879